Change in trend of hollow viscus perforation: a retrospective study
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Abstract

Background: India is a developing country and there has been a considerable change in management of infectious disease leading to decline in the complications; despite which gastrointestinal perforations constitute to be most common surgical emergencies. Our objective was to determine the change in the trend of proximal versus distal perforation and the decline in infectious aetiology.

Methods: Retrospective study of 85 consecutive patients from January 2013 to December 2015 were reviewed with respect to presentation, intraoperative findings and post operative course at our tertiary care hospital in district of Bagalkot, North Karnataka.

Results: The most common cause of perforation in our study was perforated duodenal ulcer (44 cases) followed by appendicitis (20 cases), gastrointestinal perforation due to blunt trauma abdomen (7 cases), penetrating abdominal injury (1 case), typhoid fever (3 cases) and tuberculosis (2 cases). Overall mortality was 2.35 %.

Conclusion: As in developed countries there is decrease in incidence of proximal versus distal perforations in India, today. This is due to improvement in the medical management of infectious disease. The increasing incidence of post-traumatic gastrointestinal perforation is due to an increase in motor vehicle accidents. However, the incidence can still be reduced to a low number with the improvement in health education, early diagnosis with effective medical management of the infections and measures to prevent Road Traffic Accidents.
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Introduction

Perforation of any part of gastrointestinal tract gives rise to life threatening emergency. A high index of suspicion is essential to diagnose gastrointestinal perforation early as significant morbidity results from diagnostic delay. The spectrum of aetiology of perforation in tropical countries continues to be different from its western counterpart. The great majority of perforation from stomach and duodenum are complications of peptic ulcer[1]. The management of perforated viscus challenges the surgeons skill and his knowledge of preoperative and postoperative care of the severely ill surgical patients[2].

Material and Methods

A retrospective study of 85 patients of gastrointestinal perforation was done over a period of last 3 years at tertiary care hospital in district of Bagalkot, North Karnataka.

All patients with acute abdomen and free gas under diaphragm; and all cases found to have perforation of any part of gastrointestinal tract at the time of surgery were included in the study.

All cases with either primary peritonitis or that due to anastomotic leak were excluded. Patients less than 14 years of age were also excluded.

All cases were studied in terms of clinical presentation, radiological investigations, operative findings and postoperative course. Data was collected from medical records department, admitted patient records, operation theatre records and outpatient department.
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follow up of cases. All patients following a clinical diagnosis of perforation peritonitis and adequate resuscitation underwent emergency exploratory laparotomy. After thorough examination the site of perforation was being identified and definitive procedure done accordingly. Post operatively patients were managed at surgical intensive care unit till post operative day 3 and if uneventful shifted to general wards or managed accordingly at surgical intensive care unit.

**Results**

A total of 85 patients were studied. Maximum number of cases were seen during month of October- 15.3% (13) and minimum in September- 2.4% (2) (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Month-wise incidence of cases](image1)

49.4 % (42) and 53.3 % (47) of patient had history of consumption of alcohol and smoking respectively (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Proportion of alcoholics and smokers in the cases](image2)

31.8% of the patients had at least one pre-existing medical illness most common being Hypertension, 10.6% (Figure 3).
Figure 3. Co-morbidities associated with hollow viscus perforation

8.2% patients had perforation due to blunt injury of abdomen following road traffic accident. And 1 patient developed jejunal perforation due to stab injury. One case was secondary to pseudo-obstruction. 3.5% patient had ileal perforation secondary to typhoid fever. 2 patients were due to tuberculosis (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Causes for Perforation

3 patients with duodenal perforation, 1 with colon perforation and patients with appendicular perforation (totally 23 cases, 27.06%) did not show free gas under diaphragm.
In majority of patients (57) 67.1% the peritonitis was generalized. The other operative findings and surgical procedures performed are as illustrated in Figure 5. 37 cases incurred postoperative complications. The morbidity rate in our study was significantly higher in the patients with jejunal perforation, 83% (5 out of 6 cases) and lowest in appendicular perforation, 15% (3 out of 20 cases) (Figure 6).
Discussion

The results obtained in our study are comparable to other studies published in India. Perforation peritonitis is a frequently encountered surgical emergency in tropical countries like India, most commonly affecting young men in the prime of life as compared to the studies in the west[3,4], where the mean age is between 45–60 years. In majority of cases, the presentation to the hospital is late with well established generalized peritonitis with purulent/fecal contamination and varying degree of septicemia. The signs and symptoms are typical and it is possible to make a clinical diagnosis of peritonitis in all patients.

The perforations of proximal gastrointestinal tract, 61.18% (52) were only 1.18 times more common as perforations of distal gastrointestinal, 38.82% (33) tract compared to previous studies done in India, which show proximal being 6 times more common than distal. This suggests a decrease in trend of proximal perforation and shift of the trend from proximal to distal in India[5,6]. As data from developed countries like United States[7], Greece[8] and Japan[9] studies suggesting distal perforation more common than proximal.

Regarding etiology of distal perforations where in previous data suggested importance of infection and infestation in India; our data is also consistent where in perforation from appendicitis, enteric fever and tuberculosis were 75.76% (25) and 3.13 times more common than that from trauma being 24.24% (8).

We had a mortality of 2.35% being less than other studies as shown above. This could be concluded from improvement in management (resuscitation, pre-hospital treatment, postoperative care and use of newer generation of antibiotics) of perforative peritonitis.

Table 1. Review of various Studies regarding site of perforation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Ref)</th>
<th>Total cases</th>
<th>Gastroduodenal</th>
<th>Small Bowel</th>
<th>Appendicular</th>
<th>Colorectal</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our Study</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemparaj T et al[3]</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhobta et al[12]</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quereshi[13]</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nishida[14]</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen[15]</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorairajan[16]</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandapar[17]</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma[18]</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah[19]</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kachroo[20]</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Review of various studies regarding infectious etiology and trauma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Ref)</th>
<th>Total cases</th>
<th>Typhoid</th>
<th>Tuberculosis</th>
<th>Trauma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our study</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3 (3.5%)</td>
<td>2 (2.4%)</td>
<td>7 (8.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemparaj T et al[3]</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>102 (27.64%)</td>
<td>10 (2.71%)</td>
<td>23 (6.23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhobta et al[12]</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>41 (8.13%)</td>
<td>20 (3.97%)</td>
<td>45 (8.93%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: As is in developed countries, there is a decrease in incidence of proximal versus distal perforations in India, today. This is due to improvement in the medical management of infectious disease. The increasing incidence of post-traumatic gastrointestinal perforation is due to an increase in motor vehicle accidents. However, the incidence can still be reduced to a low number with the improvement in health education, early diagnosis with effective medical management of the infections and measures to prevent road traffic accidents.
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